WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA** 

V

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Jesus Barraza-Monje	Case Number:	08-6005M
present and	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. was represented by counsel. I conclude the defendant pending trial in this case	by a preponderance of the e	g was held on January 22, 2008. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a pr	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
	The defendant is not a citizen of the	e United States or lawfully ad	mitted for permanent residence.
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the ch	narged offense, was in the Ur	ited States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deporte or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant co	ontacts in the United States o	r in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal	history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexic	0.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade	law enforcement contact by f	leeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum	n of	vears imprisonment.
The at the time o	f the hearing in this matter, except as n	oted in the record.	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Court
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defe No condition or combination of con- DIRECT	ditions will reasonably assure	
a corrections appeal. The of the United	sfacility separate, to the extent practical defendant shall be afforded a reasonab States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purp	ole, from persons awaiting or solle opportunity for private cons the Government, the person i	/her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.
IT IS deliver a cop Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of the	nis detention order be filed wit	h the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the District
IT IS Services suf	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release ficiently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be considere the District Court to allow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DA	TED this 22 <sup>nd</sup> day of January,	2008.	
	•	Jan	

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge